

Project Proposal | Peer Review no. 2

REVIEWING GROUP'S NAMES: (People filling out this worksheet)	Adib Kabir
NAMES OF GROUP BEING REVIEWED: (People who wrote the proposal)	Wen Qi Zhang, Abkarriyah Ahmed, Mohammed Mohammed

General

A. Does the proposal contain each of the following sections– or sections that are comparable in nature? If not, circle the item or items that are missing.

- A. Cover Letter/Letter of Transmittal (1 pg)
- B. Title Page (1 pg)
- C. Abstract/Executive Summary (100-250 words)
- D. Table of Contents (1 pg)
- E. Literature Review (of 6 scholarly articles; this explains what prior research has been done on this topic)
- F. Methods (your own, how you will conduct the research) (200-250 words)
- G. Anticipated Results (200-250 words)
- H. Broader Impact of your Research/Conclusion (150-200 words)
- I. References (in APA format)
- J. Appendix (optional/if necessary)

B. Summarize the proposed project. If this project is addressing a particular problem, please describe this too:

This project aims to mitigate carbon emissions, and pollution in general, by reducing the use of motor vehicles and relying less on carbon emitting fuels. This project proposes the use of alternatives to instances that contribute to carbon emissions. For instance biking instead of driving. An app is implemented to encourage people to bike instead of drive.

C. Overall, does the proposal follow proper formatting conventions? (12pt, Times New Roman Font, letter formatting, etc.)

(Yes, No, or Somewhat?)

Yes

If you answered "no" or "somewhat," mark on their draft what needs to be changed.

D. Overall, has the proposal been written in a professional tone?

(Yes, No, or Somewhat?)

Yes

If you answered "no" or "somewhat," mark on their draft what needs to be changed.

Auti

E. Who might you imagine the audience for this proposal to be? Does it seem tailored to them?

(Yes, No, or Somewhat?)

Government officials

If you answered "no" or "somewhat," what might they change to do so?

Literature Review

F. Describe how the literature review has been ordered: chronologically? methodologically? cause and effect? problem and solution? a combination of these ways or some other way?

Chronologically or methodologically. They first list the problems at hand, what causes the issue, alternatives to implement and build an app to help deliver the solution

G. How many sources can you identify in the literature review section?

6

H. Has each source been introduced? That is: can you identify **where** and **when** this information was published? **who** collected or compiled this information? List below what information you are told about (not by) this source in the literature review paragraphs (not the references page):

Only 2 listed
on references
page

Source 1 EPA (2024), Draft Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks

Source 2 Utley, J., Lovelance, R. (2016), Cycling promotion schemes and long term behavioural change

Source 3

Source 4

Source 5

Source 6



References/Misc.

I. Check the references page. Do you see at least the sources referenced in the literature review?

(Yes or No?)

Yes

J. Check each reference entry for proper APA citation. Make corrections on the draft if necessary.

K. Check for typos or grammatical errors, mark them on the draft. Look for common mistakes like: inconsistent capitalizations, sentence fragments (sentences without a verb), spelling errors, etc.